1. Overview of Verification Round

For Verification Round TV-2019-01, 3 investigations were assigned on 3 criteria. One criterion was targeted due to never having been verified, and two criteria were randomly selected from a list of claimed criteria which have not been verified since 2014. Criteria in this Verification Round included:

- 4.7.1.1 Required - Self-declared environmental management system for design and manufacturing organizations
- 4.8.2.1 Required - Separable packaging materials
- 4.8.2.3 Required - Plastics marked in packaging materials

Investigations were assigned at Level 1. In a Level 1 investigation, an Auditor assesses Conformance to a criterion by examining information submitted by a Manufacturer. The Manufacturer is required to provide detailed and accurate information in a timely manner. All manufacturers with products actively listed on the EPEAT Registry and all geographies were eligible for inclusion in this round.

2. Summary of Outcomes

3 investigations were assigned. 2 investigations were cancelled due to the manufacturer switching CABs. The remaining investigation resulted in a finding of Conformance.

Figure 1. Overall Conformance Rate for TV-2019-01 (as a percentage of total investigations)
3. Key Lessons

None

4. General Message to Manufacturers

*Understanding documentation requirements for Verification Rounds:*

You can find more guidance and examples of conformance documents in the Conformity Guidance Packets located in “Help and FAQ”. Go to epeat.net to log in.

*Initial response to Auditors:*

When contacted regarding participation in a Verification Round, Manufacturers should respond to the Auditor as soon as possible to let them know they are communicating with the correct person or to inform them of the correct contact. This also helps the Auditor know that the e-mail address is valid.

*Conformance of products that may share similar traits and/or supply chains:*

If a Non-Conformance is found for a particular criterion and product, Manufacturers should be prepared to determine if other products on the EPEAT Registry are similarly impacted due to use of similar materials and/or supply chains, and develop corrective action plans to address the future conformance of these other products.

5. Looking Forward

*Plans for Future Verification Activities:*

There are no further verification activities planned on the Televisions category for 2019.

*Conformity Guidance Packets:*

This and all future Verification Rounds have and will be conducted according to the guidance provided in the Conformity Guidance Packets posted on www.epeat.net under “Help and FAQ”.
6. Background

To assure the credibility of the EPEAT Registry, verification of the claims by Participating Manufacturers are rigorous, independent and transparent. Verification is conducted according to policies and procedures described in documents provided on www.epeat.net. Manufacturers are given no forewarning that their products will be verified, and verification is performed based on the declarations as they are in the Registry at the time the Verification Round begins.

Investigations are performed by expert technical contractors called Auditors working for a Conformity Assurance Body approved by the Green Electronics Council (GEC). Auditors are free of conflicts of interest, and their recommended decisions are reviewed and finalized by the Conformity Assurance staff of GEC. Decisions of conformity are made blind to the identity of the products and companies they are judging, based only on evidence collected and analyzed by Auditors. A serious consequence of receiving a Major Non-Conformance is that it is published publicly in an Outcomes Report, for purchasers, competitors, and others to see.

- In a Level 0 investigation, an Auditor assesses Conformance to a criterion by examining publicly available information only – no products are obtained for inspection or testing, and the Manufacturer is not asked to submit documentation. If the publicly available information is inconclusive (i.e. was not available, could not be found from public sources, or did not provide enough details to determine conformance), the Auditor may be instructed to proceed with a Level 1 investigation.

- In a Level 1 investigation, an Auditor assess Conformance to a criterion by examining information submitted by a Manufacturer. The Manufacturer is required to provide detailed and accurate information in a timely manner.

- In Level 2 investigations, the Conformity Assurance Body obtains a product without the Manufacturer’s knowledge or involvement, and has the product disassembled and inspected to assess conformance with one or more criteria.

- In Level 3 investigations, the Conformity Assurance Body obtains a product without the Manufacturer’s knowledge or involvement, and has the product analytically tested to assess conformance with one or more criteria.

Manufacturers must correct Non-Conformances, either by bringing the product into Conformance, by un-declaring the criterion until Conformance is achieved, or by removing the product from the Registry. The Green Electronics Council also requires that Manufacturers examine other registered products to determine if their declarations should be corrected as well. If a Manufacturer corrects the Non-Conformance by un-declaring the criterion and the criterion is an optional criterion, they lose that point, and possibly the product drops a tier. If it is a required criterion, they must archive the product. If it is a required corporate criterion, they must archive all of their registered products.